Data-analysis and Retrieval Classification: Additional Slides

Ad Feelders

Universiteit Utrecht

The expected value of discrete random variable Y is:

$$
E(Y) = \sum_{y} y P(Y = y)
$$

Since $Y \in \{0, 1\}$ we get:

 $E(Y | X) = 1 \times P(Y = 1 | X) + 0 \times P(Y = 0 | X) = P(Y = 1 | X)$

Logit Transformation

Logistic regression assumption:

$$
P(Y=1 \mid X) = \frac{e^{\beta_0+\beta_1 X}}{1+e^{\beta_0+\beta_1 X}}
$$

Therefore

$$
P(Y=0 | X) = 1 - P(Y=1 | X) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X}},
$$

and hence the odds are

$$
\frac{P(Y=1 \mid X)}{P(Y=0 \mid X)} = e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X}
$$

Finally, the log-odds are

$$
\ln\left(\frac{P(Y=1 | X)}{P(Y=0 | X)}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X
$$

Classes are equally likely when

$$
\frac{P(Y = 1 | X)}{P(Y = 0 | X)} = 1
$$

and hence

$$
\ln\left(\frac{P(Y=1 | X)}{P(Y=0 | X)}\right) = 0
$$

So the decision boundary is

$$
\beta_0 + \beta_1 X = 0
$$

Assign to class 1 if $\beta_0 + \beta_1 X > 0$ and to class 0 otherwise. If $\beta_1 > 0$: Assign to class 1 if $X > -\frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1}$ $\frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1}$ and to class 0 otherwise. If $\beta_1 < 0$: Assign to class 1 if $X < -\frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1}$ $\frac{\rho_0}{\beta_1}$ and to class 0 otherwise.

Linear Decision Boundary (one predictor, $\beta_1 > 0$)

Linear Decision Boundary (two predictors)

$$
Y = 1
$$
 if heads, $Y = 0$ if tails. $p = P(Y = 1)$.

In a sequence of 10 coin flips we observe $y = (1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0).$

The likelihood function is

$$
P(\mathbf{y}|p) = p \cdot (1-p) \cdot p \cdot p \cdot (1-p) \cdot p \cdot p \cdot p \cdot p \cdot (1-p) = p7(1-p)3
$$

The corresponding log-likelihood function is

$$
\ln P(\mathbf{y}|p) = \ln(p^7(1-p)^3) = 7 \ln p + 3 \ln(1-p)
$$

To determine the maximum we take the derivative and equate it to zero (note that $\frac{d \ln x}{dx} = \frac{1}{x}$ $\frac{1}{x}$

$$
\frac{d\ln P(\mathbf{y}|p)}{dp}=\frac{7}{p}-\frac{3}{1-p}=0
$$

which yields maximum likelihood estimate $\hat{p} = 0.7$.

This is just the relative frequency of heads in the sample.

Log-likelihood function for $y = (1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0)$

Logistic regression is a bit like the coin tossing example, except that now the probability of success depends on x_i :

$$
p(x_i) = P(Y_i = 1 | x_i) = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i}}
$$

$$
1 - p(x_i) = P(Y_i = 0 | x_i) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i}}
$$

ML estimation for logistic regression

Example

Likelihood function:

$$
\left(\frac{1}{1+e^{\beta_0+8\beta_1}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1+e^{\beta_0+12\beta_1}}\right)\left(\frac{e^{\beta_0+15\beta_1}}{1+e^{\beta_0+15\beta_1}}\right)\left(\frac{e^{\beta_0+10\beta_1}}{1+e^{\beta_0+10\beta_1}}\right)
$$

Unlike with linear regression there is no closed-form solution for the maximum likelihood estimates in logistic regression.

Interpretation

We have

$$
\ln \left\{ \frac{\hat{P}(Y=1 \mid x)}{\hat{P}(Y=0 \mid x)} \right\} = -10.6513 + 0.0055x,
$$

so with every additional 100 dollars we owe, the log odds increase with $100 \times 0.0055 = 0.55$.

The odds are multiplied by $e^{0.55} \approx 1.73$ so with every additional 100 dollars we owe, the odds increase with 73%.

When x increases with one unit, the odds are multiplied by e^{β_1} because:

$$
e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1(x+1)} = e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_1} = e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x} \times e^{\beta_1},
$$

since
$$
e^{a+b} = e^a \times e^b
$$
.

Note that the effect of an increase in balance on the probability of default depends on the value of balance:

- An increase from 1000 to 1100 dollars leads to an increase of the probability of default from 0.006 to 0.01.
- An increase from 1900 to 2000 dollars leads to an increase of the probability of default from 0.45 to 0.59.

The effect depends on where we are on the S-curve.

Confounding

If balance is not included as a predictor, then the indirect influence of student on default via balance is attributed to student.

If balance is included as a predictor as well, then the effects of student and balance on default are separated from each other.

Hypertensive disorder associated with over-secretion of cortisol by the adrenal gland. The observations are urinary excretion rates of two steroid metabolites.

The Cushings data frame (in library MASS) has 27 rows and 3 columns:

- **•** Tetrahydrocortisone: urinary excretion rate (mg/24hr).
- Pregnanetriol: urinary excretion rate $(mg/24hr)$.
- Type: underlying type of syndrome
	- a (adenoma)
	- b (bilateral hyperplasia)
	- \bullet c (carcinoma)
	- u for unknown (not used in fitting models)

Fitting a Multinomial Logit Model in R

```
> library(MASS)
> library(nnet)
> data(Cushings)
> mycush <- Cushings
> dimnames(mycush)[[2]] <- c("Tetra","Preg","Type")
> cush.multinom <- multinom(Type~log(Tetra)+log(Preg),
                    data=mycush[1:21,],maxit=500)
# weights: 12 (6 variable)
initial value 23.070858
iter 10 value 6.623970
iter 20 value 6.214841
iter 30 value 6.182968
iter 40 value 6.172650
iter 50 value 6.167699
iter 60 value 6.162723
iter 70 value 6.156685
iter 80 value 6.155298
iter 90 value 6.153807
iter 100 value 6.152597
iter 110 value 6.152041
iter 120 value 6.151229
final value 6.151167
converged
```
Multinomial Logit: The Fitted Model

```
> summary(cush.multinom)
Ca11:multinom(formula = Type \tilde{ } log(Tetra) + log(Preg), data = mycush[1:21,
    ], maxit = 500)
```

```
Coefficients:
  (Intercept) log(Tetra) log(Preg)
b -19.99566 14.37357 -0.2450327
c -28.83773 16.23923 3.3561273
```
Std. Errors: (Intercept) log(Tetra) log(Preg) b 18.43773 13.70268 0.6691037 c 18.71154 13.35303 2.0981000

Residual Deviance: 12.30233 AIC: 24.30233

Decision Boundary: a-b (red), a-c (blue), b-c (purple)

Decision Boundary

Prediction and Confusion Matrix

- > cush.pred <- predict(cush.multinom,mycush[1:21,],type="class")
- > cush.confmat <- table(mycush[1:21,3],cush.pred)
- > cush.confmat

cush.pred

- a b c
- a 5 1 0
- b 2 7 1
- c 0 1 4
- u 0 0 0

Accuracy

- $> 16/21$
- [1] 0.7619048