Lecture 9. Input and output Functional Programming



Universiteit Utrecht

Big picture

This course: typed, purely functional programming

Today: purity and impurity



Universiteit Utrecht

Goals

Learn the difference between pure and impure

- Interact with the outside world in Haskell
 - Input/output
 - Random generation
- Introduce ao- and monadic notation through an example

Chapter 10 from Hutton's book



Universiteit Utrecht

Interactive programs

► In the old days, all programs were batch programs

- Introduce the program and input, sit and drink tea/coffee for hours, and get the output
- Programs were isolated from each other
- The part of Haskell your have learnt up to now



Universiteit Utrecht

Interactive programs

► In the old days, all programs were batch programs

- Introduce the program and input, sit and drink tea/coffee for hours, and get the output
- Programs were isolated from each other
- The part of Haskell your have learnt up to now

In this modern era, programs are interactive

- Respond to user input, more like a dialogue
- From the perspective of a program, it needs to communicate with an outside world
- Examples?
- Today: how we model this in Haskell!



Universiteit Utrecht

Purity = referential transparency

Referential transparency = you can always substitute a term by its definition without change in the meaning



Universiteit Utrecht

Purity = referential transparency

Referential transparency = you can always substitute a term by its definition without change in the meaning

Inlining:

let x = e in ... x ... x ... is always equivalent to: ... e ... e ... is always equivalent to: (\x -> ... x ... x ...) e is always equivalent to: ... x ... x ... where x = e

> [Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences]

Universiteit Utrecht

Referential transparency

A concrete example:

reverse xs ++ xs
where xs = filter p ys

is equivalent to:

reverse (filter p ys) ++ filter p ys



Universiteit Utrecht

Referential transparency

A concrete example:

reverse xs ++ xs
where xs = filter p ys

```
is equivalent to:
```

reverse (filter p ys) ++ filter p ys

Note that the second version duplicates work, but we are speaking here about the meaning of the expression, not its efficiency



Universiteit Utrecht



Universiteit Utrecht

Copying/duplication (contraction)
let x1 = e; x2 = e in t
is always equivalent to:
let x1 = e in t[x1/x2]



Universiteit Utrecht

- Copying/duplication (contraction)
 let x1 = e; x2 = e in t
 is always equivalent to:
 let x1 = e in t[x1/x2]
- Discarding (weakening) let x = e in t if t does not mention x, is equivalent to : t



Universiteit Utrecht

- Copying/duplication (contraction) let x1 = e; x2 = e in t is always equivalent to: let x1 = e in t[x1/x2]
- Discarding (weakening) let x = e in t if t does not mention x, is equivalent to : t
- Commuting/reordering (exchange) let x1 = e1; x2 = e2 in t is always equivalent to:



let $x^2 = e^2$; $x^1 = e^1$ in t

Universiteit Utrecht

Referential transparency

- Referential transparency decouples the meaning of the program from the order of evaluation
 - Inlining or duplicating does not change the program



Universiteit Utrecht

Referential transparency

- Referential transparency decouples the meaning of the program from the order of evaluation
 - Inlining or duplicating does not change the program
- This has practical advantages:
 - The compiler can reorder your program for efficiency
 - Expressions are only evaluated (once) when really needed
 - This is called lazy evaluation
 - Paralellism becomes much easier



Universiteit Utrecht

Interaction with the world in not referentially transparent! Any examples?



Universiteit Utrecht

Interaction with the world in not referentially transparent!

Any examples?

Suppose that getChar :: Char retrieves the next key stroke from the user Why is

```
let k = getChar in k == k
```

not referentially transparent?



Universiteit Utrecht

Interaction with the world in not referentially transparent!

Any examples?

Suppose that getChar :: Char retrieves the next key stroke from the user

let k = getChar in k == k

is always True, whereas this is not the case with

getChar == getChar

We say that getChar is a side-effectful action

getChar is also called an impure function



Universiteit Utrecht

Many other actions have side-effects (why?)

- Printing to the screen
- Generate a random number
- Communicate through a network
- Talk to a database

Intuitively, these actions influence the outside world

- Key properties: we cannot dicard/duplicate/exchange the world
- And thus we cannot substitute for free



Universiteit Utrecht

Haskell typing of code with IO/side-effects

	:: a -> IO a :: IO a -> (a -> IO b) -> IO b
getLine	<pre>:: IO Char :: IO String :: IO [String]</pre>
putStr	:: Char -> IO () :: String -> IO () :: String -> IO ()



Universiteit Utrecht

Modelling output

Following this idea, we model an action by a function which changes the world

```
type IOCom = World -> World -- IO ()
```

Using IOCom we can give a type to putChar

putChar :: Char -> IOCom
putChar c world = ... -- details hidden



Universiteit Utrecht

Modelling output

Following this idea, we model an action by a function which changes the world

```
type IOCom = World -> World -- IO ()
```

Using IOCom we can give a type to putChar

putChar :: Char -> IOCom
putChar c world = ... -- details hidden

How should we think of World and putChar?



Universiteit Utrecht

Combining output actions

Executing two actions in sequence is plain composition

putAB :: IOCom
putAB world = putChar 'b' (putChar 'a' world)
-- or using composition
putAB = putChar 'b' . putChar 'a'



Universiteit Utrecht

putStr s prints the whole string to the screen

```
putStr :: String -> IOCom
putStr [] = id -- keep the world as it is
putStr (c:cs) = putStr cs . putChar c
```

putStrLn s does the same, with a newline at the end

putStrLn s = putChar '\n' . putStr s



Universiteit Utrecht

Modelling input

Our IOCom type is not suitable for getChar. Why not? Fix?



Universiteit Utrecht

Modelling input

```
Our IOCom type is not suitable for getChar. Why not? Fix?
Solution: pair the output value with the new world
type IO a = World -> (a, World)
```

```
getChar :: IO Char
getChar = ... -- details hidden
```

What is now the return type of putChar?



Universiteit Utrecht

Modelling input

```
Our IOCom type is not suitable for getChar. Why not? Fix?
Solution: pair the output value with the new world
type IO a = World -> (a, World)
```

```
getChar :: IO Char
getChar = ... -- details hidden
```

What is now the return type of putChar?
 We use the empty tuple as a dummy value
putChar :: Char -> IO ()



Universiteit Utrecht

Combining input and output

Suppose that we want to echo a character

echo = putChar getChar

- Couldn't match expected type 'Char'
 - with actual type 'IO Char'



Universiteit Utrecht

Combining input and output

Let's try again with function composition

Types do not fit, since b should be both (Char, World) - from getChar - and Char - from putChar



Universiteit Utrecht

Solution: bind

(>>=) – pronounced "bind" – takes care of threading the world around

(>>=) :: IO a -> (a -> IO b) -> IO b (f >>= g) w = ...

Based on the output of the first action, we choose which action to perform next

echo = getChar >>= \c -> putChar c -- also getChar >>= putChar

Univer

Universiteit Utrecht

Solution: bind

(>>=) – pronounced "bind" – takes care of threading the world around

Based on the output of the first action, we choose which action to perform next

```
echo = getChar >>= \c -> putChar c
    -- also getChar >>= putChar
```

Un Un

Universiteit Utrecht

Uppercase input

We want to build a getUpper function which returns the uppercase version of the last keystroke

```
getChar :: IO Char
toUpper :: Char -> Char
```

```
getUpper = getChar >>= \c -> toUpper c
• Couldn't match expected type 'IO Char'
with actual type 'Char'
```



Uppercase input

We need a way to **embed** pure computations, like toUpper, in the impure world

return :: a -> IO a return a = ...

Warning! return is indeed a very confusing name

- Does not "break" the flow of the function
- A more apt synonym is available, pure

Univ

Universiteit Utrecht

Uppercase input

We need a way to **embed** pure computations, like toUpper, in the impure world

return :: $a \rightarrow IO a$ return $a = \w \rightarrow (a, w)$

Warning! return is indeed a very confusing name

- Does not "break" the flow of the function
- A more apt synonym is available, pure

Un

Universiteit Utrecht

Preserving purity

There is no bridge back from the impure to the pure world backFromHell :: IO a -> a Why?



Universiteit Utrecht

Preserving purity

There is no bridge back from the impure to the pure world

backFromHell :: IO a -> a

Why?

In this way we ensure that the outside world never "infects" pure expressions

Referential transparency is preserved



Universiteit Utrecht

Mixing IO and recursion

When dealing with IO, we cannot directly pattern match
 We often use case expressions after (>>=)

What does this code do?



Universiteit Utrecht

Mixing IO and recursion

When dealing with IO, we cannot directly pattern matchWe often use case expressions after (>>=)

What does this code do? Working directly with (>>=) is very cumbersome!



Universiteit Utrecht

Mixing IO and recursion

When dealing with IO, we cannot directly pattern matchWe often use case expressions after (>>=)

Working directly with (>>=) is very cumbersome!



Universiteit Utrecht

do-notation

Luckily, Haskell has specific notation for IO

Blocks for IO start with the keyword do

- gives a name to the result of an IO action
- The notation was chosen to "look imperative"



Universiteit Utrecht

Cooking putStr

Let us write putStr with the new combinators



Universiteit Utrecht

Cooking putStr

Let us write putStr with the new combinators

putStr :: String -> IO ()
putStr [] = return ()
putStr (c:cs) = putChar c >>= (_ -> putStr cs)



Universiteit Utrecht

Cooking putStr

Let us write putStr with the new combinators

putStr :: String -> IO ()
putStr [] = return ()
putStr (c:cs) = putChar c >>= (_ -> putStr cs)

What is happening is much clearer with do-notation

Univ

Universiteit Utrecht

do-notation, in general

A general do block is translated as nested (>>=)

In addition, if you don't care about a value, you can write simply ai instead of _ <- ai

Rule of thumb: do not think about (>>=) at all, just use do



Universiteit Utrecht

Guess a number

```
Pick a number between 1 and 100.
Is it 50? (g = greater, l = less, c = correct)
g
Is it 75? (g = greater, 1 = less, c = correct)
1
Is it 62? (g = greater, l = less, c = correct)
g
Is it 68? (g = greater, l = less, c = correct)
٦
Is it 65? (g = greater, 1 = less, c = correct)
С
Guessed
```

Universiteit Utrecht

Guess a number

```
We do binary search over the list of numbers
 At each step, we pick the middle value as a guess
guess :: Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow IO ()
guess l u
  = do let m = (u + 1) `div` 2
        putStr ("Is it " ++ show m ++ "?")
        putStrLn "(g = greater, l = less, c = correct)"
        k <- getChar
        case k of
          'g' -> guess (m + 1) u
          'l' -> guess l (m - 1)
          'c' -> putStrLn "Guessed"
              -> do putStrLn "Press type g/l/c!"
                     guess l u
                                              Faculty of Science
```

Universiteit Utrecht

Guess a number, main program

When an executable written in Haskell starts, the main function is called

main always has type IO ()

```
main :: IO ()
main = do (l:u:_) <- getArgs
    guess (read l) (read u)</pre>
```

- getArgs :: IO [String] obtains program arguments
- read :: Read a => String -> a
 - Parses a String into a value
 - In this case, we parse it into an Int



Universiteit Utrecht

Summary of basic I/O actions

return	::	???
(>>=)	::	???
getChar	::	???
getLine	::	???
getArgs	::	???
putChar	::	???
putStr	::	???
putStrLn	::	???



Universiteit Utrecht

Summary of basic I/O actions

		a -> IO a IO a -> (a -> IO b) -> IO
0	::	IO Char IO String IO [String]
putStr	::	Char -> IO () String -> IO () String -> IO ()



Universiteit Utrecht

[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences]

b

Dealing with files

The simplest functions to work with files in Haskell

type FilePath = String

readFile :: ???
writeFile :: ???



Universiteit Utrecht

Dealing with files

The simplest functions to work with files in Haskell

```
type FilePath = String
```

```
readFile :: FilePath -> IO String
writeFile :: FilePath -> String -> IO ()
```

The following functions are often convenient

```
lines :: String -> [String] -- break at '\n'
unlines :: [String] -> String -- join lines
```

```
-- convert back and forth
show :: Show a => a -> String
read :: Read a => String -> a
```



Universiteit Utrecht

Guess a number, bounds from file



Universiteit Utrecht

IO as first-class citizens



Universiteit Utrecht

IO actions are first-class

In the same way as you do with functions

- An IO action can be an argument or result of a function
- IO actions can be put in a list or other container

```
map (\name -> putStrLn ("Hello, " ++ name))
      ["Mary", "John"] :: [IO ()]
```



Universiteit Utrecht

Building versus execution of **IO** actions

```
map (\name -> putStrLn ("Hello, " ++ name))
     ["Mary", "John"] :: [IO ()]
```

Running this code prints nothing to the screen

We say that it builds the IO actions: describes what needs to be done but does not do it yet

To obtain the side-effects, you need to execute the actions

- At the interpreter prompt
- In a do block which is ultimately called by main
- An executed action always has a IO T type



Universiteit Utrecht

sequence_ as performs the side-effects of a list of actions

1. Define the type

sequence_ :: [IO a] \rightarrow IO ()



Universiteit Utrecht

sequence_ as performs the side-effects of a list of actions

1. Define the type
 sequence_ :: [IO a] -> IO ()

2. Enumerate the cases
 sequence_ [] = _
 sequence_ (a:as) = _



Universiteit Utrecht

sequence_ as performs the side-effects of a list of actions

1. Define the type
 sequence_ :: [IO a] -> IO ()

2. Enumerate the cases
 sequence_ [] = _
 sequence_ (a:as) = _

3. Define the cases
 sequence_ [] = return ()
 sequence_ (a:as) = do a

sequence_ as



We have all the ingredients to greet a list of people

```
greet :: [String] -> IO ()
greet = sequence_
        . map (\name -> putStrLn ("Hello, " ++ name))
```



Universiteit Utrecht

We have all the ingredients to greet a list of people

```
greet :: [String] -> IO ()
greet = sequence_
        . map (\name -> putStrLn ("Hello, " ++ name))
```

This combination is very common, so the library defines

 $mapM_{-}:: (a \rightarrow IO b) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow IO ()$

greet = mapM_ (\name -> putStrLn ("Hello, " ++ name))

[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences]



Universiteit Utrecht

By just flipping the order of arguments, we can write "imperative-looking" code

forM_ :: [a] -> (a -> IO b) -> IO () forM_ = flip mapM_

Univ

Universiteit Utrecht

Answer to a yes-no questions

poseQuestion q prints a question to the screen, obtains a y or n input from the user and returns it as a Boolean



Once again, if we map over the list the actions are inside map poseQuestion qs :: [IO Bool] sequence_ does not work, since it throws away the result sequence :: [IO a] -> IO [a]



. . .

Universiteit Utrecht

Gathering all answers

Once again, if we map over the list the actions are inside map poseQuestion qs :: [IO Bool]

sequence_ does not work, since it throws away the result



Universiteit Utrecht

Gathering all answers

Now we can gather answers to all questions at once

poseQuestions :: [String] -> IO [Bool]
poseQuestions = sequence . map poseQuestion

We have non-forgetful versions of the previous functions

mapM :: (a -> IO b) -> [a] -> IO [b]
forM :: [a] -> (a -> IO b) -> IO [b]

Naming convention: a function which ends in _ throws away information



Universiteit Utrecht

Lifting

liftM2 :: (a -> b -> c) -> IO a -> IO b -> IO c



Universiteit Utrecht

[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences]

47

Lifting

```
liftM2 :: (a -> b -> c)
        -> IO a -> IO b -> IO c
liftM2 f ia ib = do
        a <- ia
        b <- ib
        return (f a b)
```



Universiteit Utrecht

[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences]

48

Randomness



Universiteit Utrecht

Random generation

Random generation is provided by the System.Random module of the random package

class Random a where randomR :: RandomGen g => (a, a) -> g -> (a, g) random :: RandomGen g => g -> (a, g)

a is the type of value you want to generate

- g is the type of random generators
 - Usually, random generators keep some additional information called the seed



Universiteit Utrecht

Generating several random numbers

If you want to generate several values, you need to keep track of the seed yourself



= ...

Generating several random numbers

If you want to generate several values, you need to keep track of the seed yourself

Uni

Universiteit Utrecht

Obtaining the seed

An initial value for the generator needs external input

- We have RandomGen instance StdGen
- The following function takes care of obtaining a new seed, performing random generation and updating the seed at the end

getStdRandom :: (StdGen -> (a, StdGen)) -> IO a

 Note the use of a higher-order function to encapsulate the part of the program which needs randomness

Because of their ubiquity, the following functions are provided

```
randomRIO = getStdRandom . randomR
```

randomIO = getStdRandom random



Universiteit Utrecht

 Introduced purity/referential transparency and constrasted with impurity/side-effects



Universiteit Utrecht

- Introduced purity/referential transparency and constrasted with impurity/side-effects
- Actions with side-effects which return a value of type a are represented by IO a
 - Pure and impure parts are perfectly delineated
 - a -> IO b are "impure functions from a to b
 - The main in a Haskell program has type IO ()



Universiteit Utrecht

- Introduced purity/referential transparency and constrasted with impurity/side-effects
- Actions with side-effects which return a value of type a are represented by IO a
 - Pure and impure parts are perfectly delineated
 - ▶ a -> IO b are "impure functions from a to b
 - The main in a Haskell program has type IO ()
- To sequence IO actions, use do-notation
 - Under the hood it translates to nested (>>=) (bind)



Universiteit Utrecht

- Introduced purity/referential transparency and constrasted with impurity/side-effects
- Actions with side-effects which return a value of type a are represented by IO a
 - Pure and impure parts are perfectly delineated
 - a -> IO b are "impure functions from a to b
 - The main in a Haskell program has type IO ()
- To sequence IO actions, use do-notation
 - Under the hood it translates to nested (>>=) (bind)
- IO actions are first-class citizens



Universiteit Utrecht