

1

Purely Functional Data structures

Functional Programming

Utrecht University

- Know the difference between persistent (purely functional) and ephemeral data structures,
- Be able to use persistent data structures,
- Define and work with custom data types

• What does x:xs look like in memory?

- What does x:xs look like in memory?
- Suppose that xs = b:c:d:[] for some b,c and d

• What does xs = b:c:d:[] look like in memory?



• What does x:xs look like in memory?



• What does x:xs look like in memory?



• What does drop 2 xs look like in memory?



• What does drop 2 xs look like in memory?



• What does 1 ++ xs look like in memory?



• What does 1 ++ xs look like in memory?



• What does 1 ++ xs look like in memory?



- Data structures in which old versions are available are *persistent* data structures.
- Traditional data structures are *ephemeral*.

- Advantages of persistent data structures:
 - Convenient to have both old and new:
 - Separation of concerns;
 - Compute subexpressions independently
 - Output may contain old versions (i.e. tails)

Can we get this for other data structures?

Yes*!

Yes*!

[*] for a lot of them

- Store an set S of ordered elements s.t. we can efficiently find successor of a query q.
- The successor of q is the smallest element in S larger or equal to q.

- Store an set S of ordered elements s.t. we can efficiently find successor of a query q.
- The successor of q is the smallest element in S larger or equal to q.
- Example: $S = \{1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 20\}$, successor of q = 7 is 8.

• Idea: Use an (unordered) list

```
type SuccDS a = [a]
```

• What should the type of our succOf function be?

• Idea: Use an (unordered) list

```
type SuccDS a = [a]
```

• What should the type of our succOf function be?

```
succOf :: Ord a => a -> SuccDS a -> Maybe a
```

```
succOf :: Ord a => a -> SuccDS a -> Maybe a
succOf q s = minimum' [ x | x <- s, x >= q]
where
minimum' [] = Nothing
minimum' xs = Just (minimum xs)
```

```
succOf :: Ord a => a -> SuccDS a -> Maybe a
succOf q s = minimum' [ x | x <- s, x >= q]
where
minimum' [] = Nothing
minimum' xs = Just (minimum xs)
```

• Running time: O(n)

• Idea: Use an ordered list.

succOf q [] = Nothing succOf q (x:s) | x < q = succOf q s | otherwise = Just x • Idea: Use an ordered list.

succOf q [] = Nothing succOf q (x:s) | x < q = succOf q s | otherwise = Just x

• Does not really help: running time is still O(n).

• Idea: Use an ordered list.

succOf q [] = Nothing
succOf q (x:s) | x < q = succOf q s
| otherwise = Just x</pre>

- Does not really help: running time is still O(n).
- We need a better data structure.

• Idea: Use a binary search tree (BST).

type SuccDS a = Tree a

• Idea: Use a binary search tree (BST).

type SuccDS a = Tree a

- Can we list all elements in a Tree a?
- Can we test if a t :: Tree a is a BST?

elems :: Tree a -> [a] elems Leaf = [] elems (Node l x r) = elems l ++ [x] ++ elems r

- This implementation uses ${\cal O}(n^2)$ time.
- Exercise: write an implementation that runs in O(n) time.

```
succOf q Leaf = Nothing
succOf q (Node l x r) | x < q = succOf q r
| otherwise =
case succOf q l of
Nothing -> Just x
Just sq -> Just sq
```

Nice if the input tree happens to be balanced, i.e. of height $O(\log n)$

• Suppose that the input is a sorted list, how to build a balanced tree?

Making Balanced Trees

• Suppose that the input is a sorted list, how to build a balanced tree?

```
buildBalanced :: [a] -> Tree a
buildBalanced [] = Leaf
buildBalanced xs = Node l x r
where
m = length xs `div` 2
(ls,x:rs) = splitAt m xs
```

- l = buildBalanced ls
- r = buildBalanced rs
- Running time: $O(n \log n)$.

• Can we add new elements to the set S?

- Can we add new elements to the set S?

```
insert :: Ord a => a -> Tree a -> Tree a
insert x Leaf = Node Leaf x Leaf
insert x t@(Node l y r)
  | x < y = Node (insert x l) y r
  | x == y = t
  | otherwise = Node l y (insert x r)
```

- Can we add new elements to the set S?

```
insert :: Ord a => a -> Tree a -> Tree a
insert x Leaf = Node Leaf x Leaf
insert x t@(Node l y r)
  | x < y = Node (insert x l) y r
  | x == y = t
  | otherwise = Node l y (insert x r)
```

- Notjustinsert x l!
- Note that we are building new trees!

May unbalance the tree

• Repeatedly inserting elements unbalances the tree

```
> foldr insert Leaf [1..5]
```

Node (Node (Node (Node Leaf 1 Leaf) 2 Leaf) 3 Leaf) 4 Leaf) 5 Leaf



Self balancing trees: Red Black Trees



- Properties:
 - 1) leaves are black
 - 2) root is black
 - 3) red nodes have black children
 - 4) for any node, all paths to leaves have the same number of black children.

Self balancing trees: Red Black Trees



- Properties:
 - 1) leaves are black
 - 2) root is black
 - 3) red nodes have black children
 - 4) for any node, both children have the same *blackheight*
- blackHeight of a node = number of black children on any path from that node to its leaves.

Self balancing trees: Red Black Trees



- Properties:
 - 1) leaves are black
 - 2) root is black
 - 3) red nodes have black children
 - 4) for any node, both children have the same *blackheight*
- Support queries and updates in $O(\log n)$ time.

```
data Color = Red | Black deriving (Show,Eq)
```

• Enforces property 1. Other properties are more difficult to enforce in the type.

- succ0f more or less the same as before.
- Insert:
 - Make sure black heights remain ok by replacing a black leaf by a red node.
 - The only issue is red, red violations.
 - Allow red, red violations with the root, but not below that.
 - Recolor the root black at the end.

```
insert :: Ord a => a -> RBTree a -> RBTree a
insert x = blackenRoot . insert' x
```

insert' :: Ord a => a -> RBTree a -> RBTree a

```
insert :: Ord a => a -> RBTree a -> RBTree a
insert x = blackenRoot . insert' x
```

```
insert' :: Ord a => a -> RBTree a -> RBTree a
```

blackenRoot :: RBTree a -> RBTree a
blackenRoot Leaf = Leaf
blackenRoot (Node _ l y r) = Node Black l y r

insert' :: Ord a => a -> RBTree a -> RBTree a insert' x Leaf = Node Red Leaf x Leaf

```
insert' :: Ord a => a -> RBTree a -> RBTree a
insert' x Leaf = Node Red Leaf x Leaf
insert' x t@(Node c l y r)
  | x < y = Node c (insert' x l) y r
  | x == y = t
  | otherwise = Node c l y (insert' x r)
```

```
insert' :: Ord a => a -> RBTree a -> RBTree a
insert' x Leaf = Node Red Leaf x Leaf
insert' x t@(Node c l y r)
  | x < y = Node c (insert' x l) y r
  | x == y = t
  | otherwise = Node c l y (insert' x r)
```

As before, this creates an unbalanced tree. So, what's left is to rebalance the newly created trees.

```
insert' :: Ord a => a -> RBTree a -> RBTree a
insert' x Leaf = Node Red Leaf x Leaf
insert' x t@(Node c l y r)
  | x < y = balance c (insert' x l) y r
  | x == y = t
  | otherwise = balance c l y (insert' x r)
```

```
insert' :: Ord a => a -> RBTree a -> RBTree a
insert' x Leaf = Node Red Leaf x Leaf
insert' x t@(Node c l v r)
    | x < y = balance c (insert' x l) y r</pre>
    | x == v = t
     otherwise = balance c l y (insert' x r)
balance :: Color -> RBTree a -> a -> RBTree a
       -> RBTree a
```

Rebalancing

- The only potential issue is two red nodes near the root.
- There are only four configurations:





Rebalancing

• Make the root red, and its children black:



• Make the root red, and its children black:



balance Black (Node Red (Node Red a x b) y c) z d =
 Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d)

Rebalancing code

• Other cases are symmetric:

balance Black (Node Red (Node Red a x b) y c) z d =
 Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d)
balance Black (Node Red a x (Node Red b y c)) z d =
 Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d)

balance Black a x (Node Red (Node Red b y c) z d) =
 Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d)
balance Black a x (Node Red b y (Node Red c z d)) =
 Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d)

Rebalancing code

• Other cases are symmetric:

balance Black (Node Red (Node Red a x b) y c) z d =
 Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d)
balance Black (Node Red a x (Node Red b y c)) z d =
 Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d)

balance Black a x (Node Red (Node Red b y c) z d) =
 Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d)
balance Black a x (Node Red b y (Node Red c z d)) =
 Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d)

balance c l x r

=

- What if we also want to remove elements from S?

- What if we also want to remove elements from $S\ref{eq:second}$
- Possible in $O(\log n)$ time with Red-Black trees, but a bit more messy.

- Self balancing BST Implementation available in Data. Set
- Often useful to store additional information: Data.Map.

lookup :: Ord k => k -> Map k v -> Maybe v

- Self balancing BST Implementation available in Data. Set
- Often useful to store additional information: Data.Map.

lookup :: Ord k => k -> Map k v -> Maybe v

• Finite Sequences: Data. Sequence, allow fast access to front and back.

- Self balancing BST Implementation available in Data. Set
- Often useful to store additional information: Data.Map.

lookup :: Ord k => k -> Map k v -> Maybe v

- Finite Sequences: Data. Sequence, allow fast access to front and back.
- All these data structures are persistent.

• Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at (x, y)?



• Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at (x, y)?



• Easy if we had the platforms intersecting the vertical line at x in top-to-bottom order in a Set or Map: find successor of y.

• Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at (x, y)?



• What happens when vertical line starts/stops to intersect a platform?

• Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at (x, y)?



• What happens when vertical line starts/stops to intersect a platform?

• Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at (x, y)?



• What happens when vertical line starts/stops to intersect a platform?

- Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at (x, y)?
- What happens when vertical line starts/stops to intersect a platform?

- Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at (x, y)?
- What happens when vertical line starts/stops to intersect a platform?
- Add or remove a platform from the Set

- Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at (x, y)?
- What happens when vertical line starts/stops to intersect a platform?
- Add or remove a platform from the Set
- Since Set is persistent, old versions remain in tact. Store them in a Map.

- Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at (x, y)?
- What happens when vertical line starts/stops to intersect a platform?
- Add or remove a platform from the Set
- Since Set is persistent, old versions remain in tact. Store them in a Map.
- To answer a query: go to the version at time x using a successor query, and find successor of y.

• Write a function validRBTree :: RBTree a -> Bool that checks if a given RBTree a satisfies all red-black tree properties.