Functional Programming – Mid-term exam – Tuesday 1/10/2019

Name: Student number:	Q:	1	2	3	4	5	Total
	P:	22	15	19	16	18	90
	S:						

Before you begin:

- Do not forget to write down your name and student number above.
- If necessary, explain your answers in English or Dutch.
- Use *only* the empty boxes under the questions to write your answer and explanations in.
- The exam consists of *five* (5) questions in *seven* (7) pages.
- At the end of the exam, only hand in the filled-in exam paper. Use the blank paper provided with this exam only as scratch paper (kladpapier).
- Answers will not only be judged for correctness, but also for clarity and conciseness.

In any of the answers below you may (but do not have to) use the following well-known Haskell functions and operators, unless stated otherwise: id, (.), const, flip, head, tail, (++), concat, foldr (and its variants), map, filter, sum, all, max, min, any, elem, not, (&&), (||), zip, reverse, take, drop, and all the members of the type classes Show, Eq, Ord, Enum and Num.

- 1. In this question, we implement a simple sorting algorithm.
 - (a) (4 points) The function merge :: Ord a => [a] -> [a] -> [a] merges two sorted lists to give a single sorted list. For example:
 - > merge [2, 5, 6] [1, 3, 4, 5] [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5, 6] Give a definition of merge using direct recursion.

C 0

(b) (3 points) Recall that take n xs returns the first n elements of xs. For example,

take 2 [3, 4, 5] == [3, 4]. We can similarly define drop n xs such that it returns xs without its first n elements. For example, by defining

drop n xs = let m = length xs in (reverse . take (m - n) . reverse) xs The function halve :: [a] -> ([a], [a]) has the specification that halve xs = (ls,rs) implies that length ls <= length rs && length rs <= (length xs + 1)'div' 2 && ls ++ rs == xs. Use take and drop to implement this function. (c) (6 points) Using merge and halve and direct recursion, please give an implementation of a sorting algorithm mergeSort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a].

 (d) (2 points) Given the data type data Pair a b = MkPair a b please define functions getA and getB that, respectively, get the values of type a and b stored in a Pair a b.

(e) (2 points) Define an Eq-instance for Pair a b in which two pairs are considered equal if and only if they have the same b values. Furthermore, define an Ord-instance for Pair a b in which the order of two pairs is defined as the order of their respective b values.

(f) (5 points) Define a function sortOn :: Ord b => (a -> b) -> [a] -> [a] such that sortOn f xs sorts the x in xs according to the value of f x in increasing order of b. In case two elements have equal f x value, you may sort these two elements in any order. For example,

```
> sortOn length ["Never", "gonna", "give", "you", "up"]
["up","you","give","Never","gonna"]
Please use mergeSort, higher-order functions and the Pair a b data type defined above.
```

- 2. This question will test your command of higher-order functions and, in particular, folds.
 - (a) (4 points) any p xs computes whether any element of xs satisfies the predicate p. Please complete the following definition:

```
any :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Bool using a fold:
any p = foldr f x0 where
f = ...
x0 = ...
```

You may add extra arguments to f and x0 if you wish.

(b) (2 points) Please give a definition, using direct recursion, of the function
 partition :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> ([a], [a]) which has the definition that
 partition p xs = (trues, falses) where trues contains exactly the elements of xs which sat isfy the predicate p and falses contains the remaining elements of xs (both in their original order).

(c) (5 points) Now, please implement partition using a fold, instead (no direct recursion allowed).

(d) (4 points) The function maximum' :: Ord a => a -> [a] -> a has the specification that maximum' x0 xs calculates the maximum value of value of x0 and the elements in xs. Please complete the following definition of maximum' by using its first argument as an accumulator: maximum' x0 [] = x0

3. In this question, we will be working with a polymorphic data type

of binary trees, holding values of a type a in each (internal) node, and a value of type Int in each leaf. We call the values stored in the leaves *weights*.

(a) (3 points) Please define, using direct recursion, a function height :: WTree a -> Int which calculates the *height* of a tree (where the height of a Leaf is defined to be zero).

(b) (4 points) We define the *total weight* of a weighted tree as the sum of the weights of all of its leaves. Using direct recursion, please write a function totalWeight :: WTree a -> Int which calculates the total weight of a tree.

(c) (4 points) We call a weighted tree *balanced* if it is a leaf or if the total weight of the left subtree is at most three times that of the right subtree and vice versa and both the left and right subtree are balanced themselves. Using the totalWeight function, please write a function isBalanced :: WTree a -> Bool which checks whether a weighted tree is balanced.

(d) (5 points) For efficiency reasons, we want to define a function
 weightAndBalanced :: WTree a -> (Int, Bool) which simultaneously computes the total weight of a weighted tree and whether it is balanced. Please define this function.

(e) (3 points) Please define a variation on the data type WTree which is, additionally, polymorphic in the type of weight stored in the leaves, where the type of weights stored in the leaves can be different from the type of values stored in the nodes. Use Leaf and Node as the names of the constructors.

- 4. In this question, we test your understanding of type inference.
 - (a) (10 points) Determine the type of the following expressions or demonstrate that they are not well-typed.
 - map elem ['a', 'b'] ['a', 'b', 'c', 'd']

• foldr (.)

(b) (6 points) Which of the following statements are true? Circle *all* correct answers.

A. map (:) has type [e] -> [[e] -> [f]]

B. (<= 4) has type (Ord v, Num v) \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow Bool

- 5. We end on some multiple choice questions.
 - (a) (6 points) Please circle *all* of the expressions that have the same value as
 [(f x, x) | x <- xs, p x, q x]

A. (filter p . filter q . map (\x -> (f x, x))) xs
B. filter (\y -> p y && q y) (map f xs)
C. map (\x f -> (x, f x)) (filter p (filter q xs))
D. (map (\x -> (f x, x)) . filter p . filter q) xs

```
A. MkZoo [(Aquarium, GoldFish), (Jungle, Gorilla)]
[MkStaff "Frank" 31, MkStaff "Matthijs" 29]
```

B. MkZoo [(PlotOfSand, []), (Aquarium, [Hippo, Crab, Gorilla, Crab, Other "Rat"])] [MkStaff "Henk" 52, MkStaff "Ingrid" 42]

C. MkZoo [(Aquarium, [Other "Shark", GoldFish "Bertus"])] []

(c) (6 points) Clearly, some enclosures are not suitable for some animals. For example, animals that cannot swim cannot be held in an aquarium. Which of the following implementations of drowns :: (Animal -> Bool) -> Zoo -> [Animal] check correctly which animals are at risk, assuming that its first argument is a function which checks whether an Animal can swim? Please circle *all* correct answers.

```
A. drowns canSwim (MkZoo eas _) =
    [ a | as <- aquariumAnimals, a <- as, not (canSwim a) ]
    where
    aquariumAnimals =
        map (\(_, as) -> as) (filter (\(e, _) -> e == Aquarium) eas)
B. drowns canSwim (MkZoo eas _) =
        concat [ filter (not . canSwim) as | (Aquarium, as) <- eas ]
C. drowns canSwim (MkZoo (ea : eas) s) = getDrowningAnimals ea
        ++ drowns canSwim (MkZoo eas s) where
        getDrowningAnimals (Aquarium, a : as) = filter (not . canSwim) as</pre>
```