

1

# **Purely Functional Data structures**

Functional Programming

Utrecht University

- Know the difference between persistent (purely functional) and ephemeral data structures,
- Be able to use persistent data structures,
- Define and work with custom data types

• What does x:xs look like in memory?

- What does x:xs look like in memory?
- Suppose that  $xs = b: c:d: []$  for some b,c and d

• What does  $xs = b: c:d: []$  look like in memory?



• What does x:xs look like in memory?



• What does x:xs look like in memory?



• What does drop 2 xs look like in memory?



• What does drop 2 xs look like in memory?



• What does  $1 + x$ s look like in memory?



• What does  $1 + x$ s look like in memory?



• What does  $1 + x$ s look like in memory?



- Data structures in which old versions are available are *persistent* data structures.
- Traditional data structures are *ephemeral*.
- Advantages of persistent data structures:
	- Convenient to have both old and new:
		- Separation of concerns;
		- Compute subexpressions independently
	- Output may contain old versions (i.e. tails)

## **Can we get this for other data structures?**

Yes\*!

Yes\*!

[\*] for a lot of them

- Store an set *S* of ordered elements s.t. we can efficiently find successor of a query *q*.
- The successor of *q* is the smallest element in *S* larger or equal to *q*.
- Store an set *S* of ordered elements s.t. we can efficiently find successor of a query *q*.
- The successor of *q* is the smallest element in *S* larger or equal to *q*.
- Example:  $S = \{1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 20\}$ , successor of  $q = 7$  is 8.
- Store the elements of type a in a data structure of type SuccDS a
- What should the type of our succOf function be?
- Store the elements of type a in a data structure of type SuccDS a
- What should the type of our succOf function be?

succOf :: Ord a => a -> SuccDS a -> Maybe a

• Idea: Use an (unordered) list

**type** SuccDS a = [a]

```
succOf :: Ord a => a -> SuccDS a -> Maybe a
succOf q s = minimum' [x \mid x \leq s, x \geq q]where
    minimum' [] = Nothing
    minimum' xs = Just (minimum xs)
```

```
succOf :: Ord a => a -> SuccDS a -> Maybe a
succOf q s = minimum' [x \mid x \leq -s, x \geq -q]where
    minimum' [] = Nothing
    minimum' xs = Just (minimum xs)
```
• Running time:  $O(n)$ 

• Idea: Use an *ordered* list.

 $succOf q [ ]$  = Nothing succOf q  $(x:s)$  |  $x < q$  = succOf q s  $otherwise = Just x$ 

• Idea: Use an *ordered* list.

 $succOf q [ ]$  = Nothing succOf q  $(x:s)$  |  $x < q$  = succOf q s  $otherwise = Just x$ 

• Does not really help: running time is still  $O(n)$ .

• Idea: Use an *ordered* list.

 $succOf q \tI$  = Nothing succOf q  $(x:s)$  |  $x < q$  = succOf q s  $otherwise = Just x$ 

- Does not really help: running time is still  $O(n)$ .
- We need a better data structure.

• Idea: Use a binary search tree (BST).

```
data Tree a = Leaf
              Node (Tree a) a (Tree a)
            deriving (Show,Eq)
```
**type** SuccDS a = Tree a

• Idea: Use a binary search tree (BST).

```
data Tree a = Leaf
              Node (Tree a) a (Tree a)
            deriving (Show,Eq)
```
#### **type** SuccDS a = Tree a

- Can we list all elements in a Tree a?
- Can we test if a t :: Tree a is a BST?

```
elems :: Tree a -> [a]
elems Leaf = []elems (Node 1 \times r) = elems 1 + |x| + elems r
```
 $i$ sBST  $\therefore$  Ord a => Tree a -> Bool  $isBST$  Leaf  $= True$ isBST (Node  $1 \times r$ ) = all  $(\leq x)$  (elems 1) && all  $(\geq x)$  (elems r) && isBST l && isBST r

- $\cdot \,$  This implementation uses  $O(n^2)$  time.
- Exercise: write an implementation that runs in  $O(n)$  time.

```
succOf q Leaf = NotbingsuccOf q (Node 1 \times r) | x < q = succOf q r
                   | otherwise = case succOf q l of
                                  Nothing -> Just x
                                  Just sq -> Just sq
```

```
succOf q Leaf = NotbingsuccOf q (Node 1 \times r) | x < q = succOf q r
                    | otherwise = case succOf q l of
                                  Nothing -> Just x
                                  Just sq -> Just sq
```
Nice if the input tree happens to be balanced, i.e. of height *O*(log *n*)

• Suppose that the input is a sorted list, how to build a balanced tree?

#### **Making Balanced Trees**

• Suppose that the input is a sorted list, how to build a balanced tree?

```
buildBalanced :: [a] -> Tree a
buildBalanced [] = Leaf
buildBalanced xs = Node l x r
 where
   m = length xs \dot{d}iv 2
    (ls, x:rs) = splitAt m xs
```
- l = buildBalanced ls
- r = buildBalanced rs
- Running time:  $O(n \log n)$ .

• Can we add new elements to the set *S*?

#### **Dynamic Successor: Insert**

• Can we add new elements to the set *S*?

```
insert :: Ord a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow Tree a \Rightarrow Tree a
insert x leaf = NodeLeaf x leafinsert x t@(Node l y r)
    | x < y = Node (insert x 1) y r
    | x == y = totherwise = Node 1 \vee (insert \times r)
```
#### **Dynamic Successor: Insert**

• Can we add new elements to the set *S*?

```
insert :: Ord a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow Tree a \Rightarrow Tree a
insert x leaf = NodeLeaf x leafinsert x t@(Node l y r)
    | x < y = Node (insert x 1) y r
    | x == y = totherwise = Node l y (insert x r)
```
- Not just insert x 1!
- Note that we are building new trees!

#### **May unbalance the tree**

- Repeatedly inserting elements unbalances the tree
- > foldr insert Leaf [1..5]

Node (Node (Node (Node (Node Leaf 1 Leaf) 2 Leaf) 3 Leaf) 4 Leaf) 5 Leaf



#### **Self balancing trees: Red Black Trees**



- Properties:
	- 1) leaves are black
	- 2) root is black
	- 3) red nodes have black children
	- 4) for any node, all paths to leaves have the same number of black children.

### **Self balancing trees: Red Black Trees**



- Properties:
	- 1) leaves are black
	- 2) root is black
	- 3) red nodes have black children
	- 4) for any node, both children have the same *blackheight*
- blackHeight of a node = number of black children on any path from that node to its leaves.

### **Self balancing trees: Red Black Trees**



- Properties:
	- 1) leaves are black
	- 2) root is black
	- 3) red nodes have black children
	- 4) for any node, both children have the same *blackheight*
- Support queries and updates in  $O(\log n)$  time.

```
data Color = Red | Black deriving (Show,Eq)
```

```
data RBTree a = Leaf
                | Node Color (RBTree a) a (RBTree a)
              deriving (Show,Eq)
```
• Enforces property 1. Other properties are more difficult to enforce in the type.

- succOf more or less the same as before.
- Insert:
	- Make sure black heights remain ok by replacing a black leaf by a red node.
	- The only issue is red,red violations.
	- Allow red,red violations with the root, but not below that.
	- Recolor the root black at the end.

insert :: Ord a => a -> RBTree a -> RBTree a insert x = blackenRoot . insert' x

```
insert \cdot: Ord a => a -> RBTree a -> RBTree a
insert x =  \text{blackenRoot} insert' xblackenRoot :: RBTree a -> RBTree a
blackenRoot Leaf = Leaf
blackenRoot (Node 1 \vee r) = Node Black 1 \vee r
```
 $insert' :: 0rd a => a -> RRTree a -> RRTree a$ 

insert' :: Ord a => a -> RBTree a -> RBTree a  $insert' \times Leaf = Node Red Leaf \times Leaf$ 

```
insert' :: Ord a => a -> RBTree a -> RBTree a
insert' \times Leaf = Node Red Leaf \times Leafinsert' x t@(Node c 1 y r)
    | x < y = Node c (insert' x 1) y r
    | x == y = t| otherwise = Node c 1 \vee (insert' x r)
```

```
insert' :: Ord a => a -> RBTree a -> RBTree a
insert' x Leaf = Node Red Leaf x Leaf
insert' x t@(Node c l y r)
    | x < y = Node c (insert' x 1) y r
    | x == v = totherwise = Node c 1 \vee (insert' \times r)
```
As before, this creates an unbalanced tree. So, what's left is to rebalance the newly created trees.

```
insert' :: Ord a => a -> RBTree a -> RBTree a
insert' \times Leaf = Node Red Leaf \times Leafinsert' x t@(Node c l y r)
    | x < y = balance c (insert' x l) y r
    | x == y = t| otherwise = balance c 1 \vee (insert' \times r)
```

```
insert' :: Ord a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow RBTree a -> RBTree a
insert' x Leaf = Node Red Leaf x Leaf
insert' x t@(Node c 1 y r)
    | x < y = balance c (insert' x l) y r
    | x == v = t| otherwise = balance c 1 \vee (insert' \times r)
```
balance :: Color -> RBTree a -> a -> RBTree a -> RBTree a

## **Rebalancing**

- The only potential issue is two red nodes near the root.
- There are only four configurations:





## **Rebalancing**

• Make the root red, and its children black:



### **Rebalancing**

• Make the root red, and its children black:



balance Black (Node Red (Node Red a  $x$  b)  $y$  c) z d = Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d)

#### **Rebalancing code**

• Other cases are symmetric:

balance Black (Node Red (Node Red a x b)  $y c$ ) z d = Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d) balance Black (Node Red a x (Node Red b  $y c$ ) z d = Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d)

balance Black a x (Node Red (Node Red b  $y c$ ) z d) = Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d) balance Black a x (Node Red b y (Node Red c z d)) = Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d)

#### **Rebalancing code**

• Other cases are symmetric:

balance Black (Node Red (Node Red a x b)  $y c$ ) z d = Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d) balance Black (Node Red a x (Node Red b  $y c$ ) z d = Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d)

balance Black a x (Node Red (Node Red b  $y c$ ) z d) = Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d) balance Black a x (Node Red b y (Node Red c z d)) = Node Red (Node Black a x b) y (Node Black c z d)

```
balance c \geq x r r =
```
• What if we also want to remove elements from *S*?

- What if we also want to remove elements from *S*?
- Possible in  $O(\log n)$  time with Red-Black trees, but a bit more messy.
- Self balancing BST Implementation available in Data.Set
- Often useful to store additional information: Data.Map.

lookup :: Ord  $k \Rightarrow k \Rightarrow$  Map  $k \vee \Rightarrow$  Maybe  $\nu$ 

- Self balancing BST Implementation available in Data.Set
- Often useful to store additional information: Data.Map.

lookup :: Ord  $k \Rightarrow k \Rightarrow$  Map  $k \vee \Rightarrow$  Maybe  $\nu$ 

• Finite Sequences: Data.Sequence, allow fast access to front and back.

- Self balancing BST Implementation available in Data.Set
- Often useful to store additional information: Data.Map.

lookup :: Ord  $k \Rightarrow k \Rightarrow$  Map  $k \vee \Rightarrow$  Maybe  $\nu$ 

- Finite Sequences: Data.Sequence, allow fast access to front and back.
- All these data structures are persistent.

• Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at  $(x, y)$ ?



• Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at  $(x, y)$ ?



• Easy if we had the platforms intersecting the vertical line at *x* in top-to-bottom order in a Set or Map: find successor of *y*.

• Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at  $(x, y)$ ?



• What happens when vertical line starts/stops to intersect a platform?

• Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at  $(x, y)$ ?



• What happens when vertical line starts/stops to intersect a platform?

• Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at  $(x, y)$ ?



• What happens when vertical line starts/stops to intersect a platform?

- Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at  $(x, y)$ ?
- What happens when vertical line starts/stops to intersect a platform?
- Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at  $(x, y)$ ?
- What happens when vertical line starts/stops to intersect a platform?
- Add or remove a platform from the Set
- Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at  $(x, y)$ ?
- What happens when vertical line starts/stops to intersect a platform?
- Add or remove a platform from the Set
- Since Set is persistent, old versions remain in tact. Store them in a Map.
- Can we quickly find the platform directly below Mario at  $(x, y)$ ?
- What happens when vertical line starts/stops to intersect a platform?
- Add or remove a platform from the Set
- Since Set is persistent, old versions remain in tact. Store them in a Map.
- To answer a query: go to the version at time *x* using a successor query, and find successor of *y*.

• Write a function validRBTree :: RBTree a -> Bool that checks if a given RBTree a satisfies all red-black tree properties.